## The Hong Kong Polytechnic University AMA1501 Introduction to Statistics for Business Exam 2016/17 Semester 1 Outline Suggested Solution

Question 1.

(a) The frequency distribution:

Class mark $(x)$	Frequency, $f$	Cum. Freq
300.5	4	4
450.5	9	13
550.5	15	28
650.5	28	56
750.5	56	112
850.5	32	144
950.5	6	150

 $\sum f = 150, \sum f x = 106675, \sum f x^2 = 78691637.5 \text{ Mean} = \frac{106675}{150} = \$711.17.$ Standard deviation =  $\sqrt{\frac{150(7869163.5) - 106675^2}{150(150 - 1)}} = \$137.77$ 

(b) 
$$D_9 = 800.5 + \frac{135-112}{32}(900.5 - 800.5) = \$872.375$$

(c)  $\frac{1}{150} \left( \frac{700.5 - 680}{700.5 - 600.5} (28) + 56 + 32 + \frac{920 - 900.5}{1000.5 - 900.5} (6) \right) = 0.6327$ 

(d) Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be the population proportion of invoices with amount of expenses between \$680 and \$920 in the last year and in this year, respectively. It is assumed that random samples are drawn with replacement, or there are infinite populations. By central limit theorem,  $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \sim N\left(p_1 - p_2, \frac{p_1q_1}{n_1} + \frac{p_2q_2}{n_2}\right)$  approximately.

$$\begin{aligned} H_0: p_1 &= p_2 \\ H_1: p_1 < p_2 \\ \alpha &= 0.05 \\ \text{Critical region: } z < -1.645 \\ \hat{p}_1 &= \frac{30}{100}, \hat{p}_2 = 0.6327, \hat{p} = \frac{30+94.91}{100+150} = \frac{124.91}{250} = 0.49964 \\ \text{Under } H_0, \text{ test statistics } z &= \frac{0.3-0.6327-0}{\sqrt{0.49964(1-0.46694)\left(\frac{1}{100} + \frac{1}{150}\right)}} = -5.15 \end{aligned}$$

Reject  $H_0$ . It is concluded that the proportion of invoices with amount of expenses between \$680 and \$920 in this year is significantly larger than that of the last year at 5% level of significance.

Question 2.

(a) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 3\\2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4\\2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5\\2 \end{pmatrix} 6! = 129600$$

(b)(i) A: selected student prefers Western European countries B: selected student prefers North American countries  $P(A) = 0.55, P(B) = 0.8, P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}) = 0.05$  $P(A \cup B) = 1 - P(\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}) = 0.95$ 

(b)(ii) 
$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B), P(A \cap B) = 0.4, P(A|B) = \frac{0.4}{0.8} = 0.5$$

(b)(iii) 
$$P(B|\bar{A}) = \frac{P(\bar{A}\cap B)}{P(\bar{A})} = \frac{P(B) - P(A\cap B)}{1 - P(A)} = \frac{8}{9}$$

(c) A: 2 out of 5 participants rate the course as useful  $B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4$ : HR, marketing, R& D and other department, respectively, is chosen  $P(B_1) = 0.25 = P(B_2) = P(B_3) = P(B_4)$  $P(A|B_1) = {5 \choose 2} 0.75^2(0.25^3) = P(A|B_2) = P(A|B_3) = P(A|B_4) P(B_3|A) = \frac{P(B_3)P(A|B_3)}{\sum_i P(B_i)P(A|B_i)} = 0.1731$  Question 3.

- (a)(i) X: score of generic competence test  $X \sim N(78, 8^2)$ P(72 < X < 92) = P(-0.75 < Z < 1.75) = 1 - 0.2266 - 0.0401 = 0.7333
- (a)(ii) Y: number of candidates having a score between 72 and 92, out of 10 students.  $Y \sim B(10, 0.7333)$ P(Y > 3) = 1 - P(Y = 0) - P(Y = 1) - P(Y = 2) - P(Y = 3) = 0.9948
- (a)(iii) P(X > 75) = P(Z > -0.375) = 0.64615  $Y_2$ : number of candidates out of 200, will be invited to interview  $Y_2 \sim N(200, 0.64615)$ Since  $np > 5, nq > 5, 0.1 , normal approximation to binomial distribution is used, <math>\mu = 129.23, \sigma^2 = 45.7280355$   $P(Y_2 \ge 150) = P\left(Z > \frac{149.5 - 129.23}{\sqrt{45.7280355}}\right) = P(Z > 3) = 0.00135$ (b)(i) X: number of vehicles arriving at the maintenance denot in a 30 minute period
  - (b)(i) X: number of vehicles arriving at the maintenance depot in a 30-minute period  $X \sim Po(4)$  $P(X > 5) = 1 - \sum \frac{e^{-4}4^x}{x!} = 0.2149$
- (b)(ii) Expected number of technical staff = 6(0.2149) + 4(0.7851) = 4.4298

Question 4.

- (a) X: monthly rate of return of a company (%)  $X \sim N(12, 5^2)$  and random sample is drawn with replacement or infinite population.  $P(\bar{X} > 10) = P(Z > \frac{10-12}{5/\sqrt{4}}) = 0.7881$
- (b) X: assembly time of a product by trainees (minutes) Assume that  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and random sample is drawn with replacement or infinite population.  $\sum x = 98.7, \sum x^2 = 1225.11, n = 8, \bar{x} = 12.3375, s = 1.0281$ A 95% confidence interval for the population mean assembly time of a product by trainees is  $12.337 \pm 2.365(\frac{1.0281}{\sqrt{8}})$ , that is  $11.4779 < \mu < 13.1971$ .
  - (c) Let p be the population proportion of VIP customers who spent more than \$20000 during annual mega sales. It is assumed that random sample of size 400 is selected with replacement or infinite population. By central limit theorem,  $\hat{p} \sim N(p, pq/n)$  approximately.

$$H_0: p = 0.23, H_1: p > 0.23, \alpha = 0.01, \hat{p} = 0.84$$
  
critical region:  $z > z_{0.01} \cong 2.33$   
Under  $H_0$ , test statistic  $z = \frac{84/400 - 0.23}{\sqrt{0.23(1 - 0.23)/400}} = -0.95$   
Decision: do not reject  $H_0$ .

Conclusion: the population proportion of VIP customers who spent more than \$20000 during annual mega sales is not more than 23% at 1% level of significance.

(d) Let  $X_U$  and  $X_R$  be the weekly sales revenue of branch located at urban area and rural area, respectively.

Assume  $X_U \sim N(\mu_U, \sigma_U^2), X_R \sim N(\mu_R, \sigma_R^2), \sigma_U = \sigma_R$  and random samples are selected with replacement or infinite populations.

$$S_P^2 = \frac{(10-1)12000 + (8-1)*11000}{10+8-2} = 133937500$$
  
 $H_0: \mu_U = \mu_R, H_1: \mu_U > \mu_R, \alpha = 0.05$   
Critical region:  $t > t_{0.05;10+8-2} = 1.746$   
Under  $H_0, t = \frac{280000 - 200000}{\sqrt{133937500(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{8})}} = 14.57$  Decision: reject  $H_0$   
Conclusion: The mean weekly sales revenue of branches locating at rural area  
is significantly lower than that of urban area at 5% level of significance.

Question 5.

(a) Let D be the difference in estimated duration estimated by manager B and manager A. Assume  $D \sim N(\mu_D, \sigma_D^2)$  and random sample is drawn with replacement or infinite population.

Project 1 2 3 4 5 6 7  

$$d$$
 2 -1 3 -1 3 3 -1  
 $n = 7, \sum d = 8, \sum d^2 = 34, \bar{d} = \frac{8}{7}, s_d = \sqrt{\frac{7*34-64}{7*6}} = 2.0354$   
 $H_0: \mu_D = 0, H_1: \mu_D \neq 0, \alpha = 0.05$   
Critical region:  $t < -t_{0.025,6} = -2.447, t > t_{0.025,6} = 2.447$   
Under  $H_0$ , test statistics  $t = \frac{1.1429}{2.0354/\sqrt{7}} = 1.4856$   
Decision: Do not reject  $H_0$   
Conclusion: the mean project duration estimated by the two managers do not

have significance difference at 5% level of significance.

(b)  $H_0$ : number of telephone enquiries received in a 5-minute period follow the uniform distribution.

 $H_1$ : number of telephone enquiries received in a 5-minute period do not follow the uniform distribution.

 $\alpha = 0.05$ 

x	0	1	2	3	4	5
$O_i$	23	35	62	48	24	8
$E_i$	33.33	33.33	33.33	33.33	33.33	33.33

Critical region:  $\chi^2 > 11.07, \nu = 6 - 1 = 5$ Under  $H_0$ , test statistics  $\chi^2 = \frac{1}{33.33}((23 - 33.33)^2 + (35 - 33.33)^2 + \dots + (8 - 33.33)^2) = 56.26.$ 

Reject  $H_0$  and conclude that the number of telephone enquiries received in 5-minute period does not follow the uniform distribution at 5% level of significance.

(c)  $H_0$ : employee's level of satisfaction on medical beneficts and staff banding are independent

 ${\cal H}_0:$  employee's level of satisfaction on medical beneficts and staff banding are dependent

 $\alpha=0.01$  Critical region:  $\chi^2>13.277, \nu=(3-1)(3-1)=4$  Expected frequencies:

	Unsatisfactory	Neural	Satisfactory
Senior	24	48	48
Middle	28	56	56
Subordinate	18	36	36

Under  $H_0$ , test statistics  $= \sum \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = \frac{(33-24)^2}{24} + \cdots + \frac{(39-36)^2}{36} = 21.37$ Reject  $H_0$  and conclude that employee's level of satisfaction on medical benefits and staff banding are not independent at 1% level of significance. Question 6.

(a)(i) 
$$b = \frac{12(6093) - (533)(132)}{12(24529) - 533^2} = 0.2690$$
  
 $a = \frac{132}{12} - 0.2690 \left(\frac{533}{12}\right) = -0.9495$   
 $\hat{y} = -0.9495 + 0.2690x$   
(a)(ii)  $\hat{y} = -0.9495 + 0.2690(58) = 14.65\%$   
(a)(iii)  $1 - R^2 = 1 - \frac{(12(6093) - (533)(132))^2}{[12(24529) - (533)^2][12(1526) - 132^2]} = 1 - 0.8362 = 0.1638$   
 $16.38\%$  variation in moisture content cannot be explained by the fitted equation.

- (b)(i)  $\hat{y} = 0.002 + 0.0204x_1 0.0231x_2 + 0.0765x_3 + 0.276x_4 + 0.0018x_5$
- (b)(ii) If the percentage of female in labour force is increased by 1 unit, the suicide rate is expected to decrease 0.0231 unit.
- (b)(iii)  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_5 = 0$   $H_1:$  at least one  $\beta_i \neq 0$   $\alpha = 0.05$ Critical region:  $f > F_{0.05;5,46-5-1} = 2.45$ Under  $H_0$ , test statistic  $f = \frac{0.45*SST/5}{(1-0.45)SST/(46-5-1)} = 6.5455$ Decision: reject  $H_0$ Conclusion: the fitted regression equation of suicide rate on the five independent variables is significant at 5% level of significance.
- (b)(iv)  $H_0: \beta_i = 0, H_1: \beta_i \neq 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \alpha = 0.1$ From the output, only the first two *p*-value are less than 0.1 (0.002 for  $x_1$  and 0.02 for  $x_2$ ) and the remaining three *p*-value are greater than 0.1. The independent variables unemployment rate  $x_1$  and percentage of female in the labour force  $x_2$  are significant at 10% level of significance.