1.	(a)	Class marks, <i>x</i>	f	fx	$fx^2$
		215	2	430	92450
		225	5	1125	253125
		235	4	940	220900
		245	8	1960	480200
		255	10	2550	650250
		265	5	1325	351125
		275	4	1100	302500
		285	2	570	162450
			40	10000	2513000

Mean,

$$\overline{x} = \frac{\sum fx}{\sum f} = \frac{10000}{40} = \$250$$

Standard deviation,

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{n(\sum fx^2) - (\sum fx)^2}{n(n-1)}}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{40(251300) - (10,000)^2}{40(39)}}$$
$$= \$18.26$$

(b) Median = 
$$250 + \frac{20 - 19}{10} \times 10$$
  
= \$251

(c) Mean = \$250 Standard deviation = \$18.26

Median = \$251

Pearson's 2<sup>nd</sup> coefficient of skewness

$$=\frac{3(\text{mean} - \text{median})}{\text{Std. deviation}} = \frac{3(250 - 251)}{18.26} = -0.164$$

 $\therefore$  the distribution is nearly symmetrical.

(d) Percentage of workers whose daily income is at least \$242 but less than \$280

$$=\frac{8\times\frac{8}{10}+10+5+4}{40}\times100\%$$
$$=\frac{25.4}{40}\times100\%=63.5\%$$

(e) Coefficient of Variation, 
$$CV = \frac{S}{\overline{x}} \times 100\%$$
  
 $CV(QMB) = \frac{18.26}{250} \times 100\% = 7.3\%$   
 $CV(rival firm) = \frac{400}{7000} \times 100\% = 5.7\%$ 

 $\therefore CV(QMB) > CV(rival firm)$ 

Therefore, QMB workers' income is more variable.

	f	x
-5 - under 0	2	-2.5
0 - under 5	2	2.5
5 - under 10	4	7.5
10 - under 15	8	12.5
15 - under 20	11	17.5
20 - under 25	13	22.5
25 - under 30	6	27.5
30 - under 35	4	32.5
Total	50	

2. (a) Frequency Table:

Under	Cumulative frequency
-5	0
0	2
5	4
10	8
15	16
20	27
25	40
30	46
35	50

Cumulative Frequency Table:

$$\sum fx = 910$$
  $\sum fx^2 = 20,212.5$ 

mean  $=\frac{910}{50}=18.20$  (\$ million)

median = 
$$15 + \frac{(50/2) - 16}{11} \times 5$$
  
= 19.09 (\$ million)

standard deviation = 
$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{20.212.5}{49} - \frac{910^2}{50 \times 49}\right)}$$
  
= 8.63 (\$ million)

## (b) Cumulative frequency curve



(c) Profit exceeded by 30% of the companies is equivalent to profit that is less than by 70% of the companies

= 23.1 (\$ million)





(b) 
$$\Sigma fx = 26026, \Sigma fx^2 = 394058, \Sigma f = 2000$$
  
 $\overline{X} = \frac{\Sigma fx}{\Sigma f} = \frac{26026}{2000} = 13.013$   
Mode  $= 11.5 + \frac{403 - 337}{(403 - 337) + (403 - 393)}(3) = 11.5 + 2.6053 = 14.1053$   
Median  $= 11.5 + \frac{1000 - 774}{403}(3) = 11.5 + 1.6824 = 13.1824$   
 $Q_1 = 8.5 + \frac{500 - 437}{337}(3) = 8.5 + .5608 = 9.0608$   
 $Q_3 = 14.5 + \frac{1500 - 1177}{393}(3) = 15.5 + 2.4656 = 16.9656$   
 $S = \left[\frac{394058}{1999} - \frac{26026^2}{2000(1999)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$   
 $= [27.7047]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 5.2635$   
 $SK_1 = \frac{Mean - Mode}{S} = \frac{13.013 - 14.1053}{5.2635} = -0.2075$   
or  $SK_2 = \frac{3(Mean - Median)}{S} = \frac{3(13.013 - 13.1824)}{5.2635} = -0.0966$   
 $CV = \frac{S}{x} \times 100\% = \frac{5.2635}{13.013} \times 100\% = 40.4480$ 

(c) The distribution of customer purchasing behaviour is slightly skewed to the left.

4. Let A be the event of the award for design

Let B be the event of the award for material

Pr(A) = 0.28, Pr(B) = 0.13,  $Pr(A \cup B) = 0.36$   $Pr(A \cap B) = Pr(A) + Pr(B) - Pr(A \cup B)$  = 0.28 + 0.13 - 0.36= 0.05

5. Let G be the event of good loan

Let B be the event of bad loan

Let L be the event of long-term loan

Let S be the event of short-term loan

Pr(G) = 0.8, Pr(B) = 0.2  $Pr(L \mid G) = 0.7, Pr(S \mid G) = 0.3$  $Pr(L \mid B) = 0.2, Pr(S \mid B) = 0.8$ 

$$Pr(G \mid L) = \frac{Pr(G) \cdot Pr(L \mid G)}{Pr(L)}$$
$$= \frac{Pr(G) \cdot Pr(L \mid G)}{Pr(G) \cdot Pr(L \mid G) + Pr(B) \cdot Pr(L \mid B)}$$
$$= \frac{0.8 \times 0.7}{0.8 \times 0.7 + 0.2 \times 0.2}$$
$$= \frac{56}{60}$$
$$= \frac{14}{15}$$
$$= 0.933$$

6. There are only 3 cases for at least one of each course,

i.e.	Management	Accountancy	Marketing	
	2	1	1	
	1	2	1	
	1	1	2	

Therefore, the required probability

$$=\frac{\binom{6}{2}\binom{7}{1}\binom{3}{1}+\binom{6}{1}\binom{7}{2}\binom{3}{1}+\binom{6}{1}\binom{7}{2}\binom{3}{1}+\binom{6}{1}\binom{7}{1}\binom{3}{2}}{\binom{16}{4}}$$

= 0.45

7.

(a) Let X be random variable of the sales volume in units,  $X \sim N(10000, 2000^2)$ 

$$Pr(7000 < X < 13000)$$
  
=  $Pr\left(\frac{7000 - 10000}{2000} < Z < \frac{13000 - 10000}{2000}\right)$   
=  $Pr(-1.5 < Z < 1.5)$   
=  $1 - 2 \times 0.0668$   
=  $0.8664$ 

(b) Let *y* be the sales volume for break even,

$$y(20-16) = 30000$$
  
 $y = \frac{30000}{4}$   
= 7500

Pr(at least break even)

$$= \Pr(y \ge 7500)$$
  
=  $\Pr\left(Z \ge \frac{7500 - 10000}{2000}\right)$   
=  $\Pr(Z \ge -1.25)$   
=  $1 - 0.1056$   
=  $0.8944$ 

8. P{defective ball pen is among the 3 chosen for testing}

$$=\frac{{}_{19}C_2 \times {}_1C_1}{{}_{20}C_3} = \frac{171}{1140} = 0.15$$

9. P{1 defective ball pen is found among the 3 chosen for testing}

$$= \frac{15}{20} \times \frac{{}_{8}C_{2} \cdot {}_{2}C_{1}}{{}_{10}C_{3}} + \frac{5}{20} \times \frac{{}_{9}C_{2} \cdot {}_{1}C_{1}}{{}_{10}C_{3}}$$
$$= \frac{15}{20} \times \frac{56}{120} + \frac{5}{20} \times \frac{36}{120} = 0.425.$$

10. Let X be the life of the randomly selected motor.

Then  $X \sim N(12, 3^2)$ ,

$$P(10 < X < 15) = P\left(\frac{10 - 12}{3} < \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} < \frac{15 - 12}{3}\right)$$
$$= P(-0.67 < Z < 1)$$
$$= 1 - 0.2514 - 0.1587$$
$$= 0.5899$$

11. Let A be the event of the defective part detected by 1<sup>st</sup> inspector B be the event of the defective part detected by 2<sup>nd</sup> inspector

$$P(A) = 0.85, P(B) = 0.85, P(A \cap B) = 0.8$$

(a) 
$$P(B \mid A') = P(B \cap A') / P(A')$$
  
=  $\frac{P(B) - P(A \cap B)}{1 - P(A)}$   
=  $\frac{0.85 - 0.80}{1 - 0.85} = 0.333$ 

(b) 
$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$$
  
= 0.85 + 0.85 - 0.80  
= 0.90

(c) 
$$1 - \{P(A \cup B)\}^3 = 1 - 0.90^3$$
  
= 0.271

12. Let *X* be the random variable of the number of customer who turn up in the 55

 $X \sim b$  (55, 0.85) Mean = np = 55(0.85) = 46.75

$$\sigma = \sqrt{npq} = \sqrt{55(0.85)(0.15)}$$
  
= 2.6481

Required probability:

$$\Pr(X \le 50)$$

Since np = 46.75 > 5, nq = 55(1-0.85) = 8.25 > 5 and 0.1 , normal approximation to binomial distribution can be used.

By Normal approximation, the required probability

$$Pr(X < 50.5) = Pr\left(Z < \frac{50.5 - 46.75}{2.6481}\right)$$
$$= Pr(Z < 1.42)$$
$$= 1 - 0.0778$$
$$= 0.9222$$

13. Let the mean of the distribution of the number of errors per page be  $\lambda$  and *X* be the Poisson random variable. Then

$$\Pr(X=0) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{\circ}}{0!} = 0.14$$

Hence  $\lambda = 1.966$  and

$$Pr(X = 1) = \frac{e^{-1.966} (1.966)^1}{1!}$$
$$= 0.275$$

The required percentage is 27.5%.

14. (a) Let X be the random variable of the number of error per 2 pages.

 $\lambda = 4$  error per 2 pages,  $X \sim Po(\lambda)$ 

$$P(X < 3) = \sum_{x=0}^{2} \frac{e^{-4} 4^{x}}{x!}$$
$$= e^{-4} \left\{ \frac{4^{0}}{0!} + \frac{4^{1}}{1!} + \frac{4^{2}}{2!} \right\}$$
$$= 0.2381$$

(b) 
$$P(X > 5) = 1 - P(X \le 5)$$
  
=  $1 - \sum_{x=0}^{5} \frac{e^{-4} 4^x}{x!}$   
=  $1 - e^{-4} \left\{ 1 + 4 + 8 + \frac{4^3}{3!} + \frac{4^4}{4!} + \frac{4^5}{5!} \right\}$   
= 0.2149

15. (a) Let H be the event of an employee who was in favour of the modified health care plan

W be the event of an employee who was in favour of the proposal to change the work schedule.

$$P(H) = 0.42, P(W) = 0.22, P(W | H) = 0.34$$
  
 $P(H \cap W) = P(H)P(W | H)$   
 $= 0.42 \times 0.34 = 0.1428$ 

(b)  $P(H \cup W) = P(H) + P(W) - P(H \cap W)$ = 0.42 + 0.22 - 0.1428 = 0.4972

(c) 
$$P(H|W) = \frac{P(H \cap W)}{P(W)} = \frac{0.1428}{0.22} = 0.6491$$

16. (a) Let X be the rate of return (%)

$$X \sim N(12.2, 7.2^{2})$$
$$P(X > 20) = P\left(Z > \frac{20 - 12.2}{7.2}\right)$$
$$= P(Z > 1.08) = 0.1401$$

(b) 
$$P(X < 0) = P\left(Z < \frac{0 - 12.2}{7.2}\right)$$
  
=  $P(Z < -1.69) = 0.0455.$ 

17.  $n_1 = n_2 = 2.20$ ,  $\hat{p}_1 = 0.36$ ,  $\hat{p}_2 = 0.30$ 

Let  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  be the True proportion of response to single-sheet questionnaire and twosheet questionnaire respectively.

Then a 95% C.I. for  $P_1 - P_2$  is

$$(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow (0.36 - 0.30) \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.36(0.64)}{220} + \frac{0.30(0.70)}{220}}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 0.06  $\pm$  0.088  $\Rightarrow$  (-0.028, 0.148)

18. Let *P* be the population proportion.

$$n = 225, \quad x = 67 \quad \hat{p} = \frac{67}{225} = 0.298$$

 $\therefore$  A 95% C.I. estimate for *P* is

$$\hat{p} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\left(\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}\right)}$$
  
i.e.  $0.298 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\left(\frac{0.298(0.702)}{225}\right)}$ 

i.e.  $0.298 \pm 0.060$ , i.e. (0.238, 0.358)

- 19.  $H_0$ : Printing errors are independent of type size.
  - $H_1$ : Printing errors are dependent on type size.

$$\alpha = 0.05, v = (2-1)(3-1) = 2$$

$$\therefore$$
 CR:  $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{0.05} = 5.991$ 

Computation:

	Type Size			Total
	А	В	С	
Pages with errors	23	17	41	81
	(29.9)	(22.7)	(28.4)	
Pages without errors	241	183	210	634
	(234.1)	(177.3)	(222.6)	
Total	264	200	251	715

Test statistic, 
$$\chi^2 = \frac{6.9^2}{29.9} + \frac{5.7^2}{22.7} + \frac{12.6^2}{28.4} + \frac{6.9^2}{234.1} + \frac{5.7^2}{177.3} + \frac{12.6^2}{222.6}$$
  
= 9.714

Conclusion: Reject  $H_0$ .

20. (a) Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be the true mean absenteeism of recent ex-smokers and long-term ex-smokers, respectively.

$$n_{1} = 34 \quad \overline{x}_{1} = 2.21 \quad s_{1} = 2.21$$

$$n_{2} = 68 \quad \overline{x}_{2} = 1.47 \quad s_{2} = 1.69$$

$$H_{0}: \mu_{1} = \mu_{2}, \text{ i.e. } \mu_{1} - \mu_{2} = 0$$

$$H_{1}: \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$CR: Z > 1.96 \quad and \quad Z < -1.96$$

$$\text{Test statistic, } z = \frac{(\overline{x}_{1} - \overline{x}_{2}) - (\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}\right)}}$$

$$= \frac{(2.21 - 1.47) - 0}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{2.21^{2}}{34} + \frac{1.69^{2}}{68}\right)}}$$

$$= 1.717$$

Conclusion: Do not reject  $H_0$ 

(b) Level of significance ( $\alpha$ ) is the probability of committing type I error in a significance test. In general,  $\alpha$  is set equal to 0.05. However, if the consequence of committing type I error in a significance test is serious in terms of monetary loss, then a low value, for example, 0.01, should be used instead.

21. (a) 
$$n = 545$$
  $x = 117$   $\hat{p} = \frac{117}{545} = 0.2147$ 

Let *P* be the population proportion of accountants finding cash flow the most difficult estimates to derive.

$$H_0: p = 0.25; \quad H_1: p < 0.25$$

$$\alpha = 0.05; \quad CR: Z < -1.645$$

Test statistic, 
$$z = \frac{\hat{p} - p}{\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}} = \frac{0.2147 - 0.25}{\sqrt{\frac{0.25(0.75)}{545}}}$$
  
= -1.903

Conclusion: Reject  $H_0$ .

\_

(b) 
$$c = p - 1.645 \sqrt{\frac{0.25(0.75)}{545}}$$
  
= 0.25 - 0.0305  
= 0.2195

So probability of rejecting  $H_0$  when the true P = 0.28 is

$$P\{\hat{p} < 0.2195\} = P\left\{Z < \frac{0.2195 - 0.28}{\sqrt{\frac{0.28(0.72)}{545}}}\right\}$$
$$= P\{Z < -3.145\}$$
$$= 0.00083.$$

22. (a) 
$$n = 8$$
  $\sum x = 192$   $\sum y = 608$   
 $\sum x^2 = 4,902$   $\sum xy = 15,032$   $\sum y^2 = 47,094$   
 $b = \frac{n \sum xy - (\sum x)(\sum y)}{n \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2} = \frac{8(15,032) - 192(608)}{8(4,902) - 192^2}$   
 $= \frac{3,520}{2,352} = 1.4966$   
 $a = \overline{y} - b\overline{x} = 76 - 1.4966(24) = 40.0816$   
 $\therefore \hat{y} = 40.0816 + 1.4966x$ 

(b) 
$$\hat{y} = 40.0816 + 1.4966(30) = 84.9796$$

(c) 
$$r = \frac{n\sum xy - (\sum x)(\sum y)}{\sqrt{[n\sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2][n\sum y^2 - (\sum y)^2]}} = \frac{3520}{\sqrt{2352(7088)}}$$
  
 $= \frac{3520}{4083.0107} = 0.8621$ 

This value of r shows a strong positive relationship between hours of study and examination grade.

(d) 
$$r^2 = (0.8621)^2 = 0.7432$$

This means hours of study can explain 74.32% of variation on examination grade in the regression equation.

23. (a)  

$$n = 10 \quad \Sigma x = 0.56 \quad \Sigma y = 96.7$$

$$\Sigma x^{2} = 0.0367 \quad \Sigma xy = 5.8$$

$$b = \frac{n\Sigma xy - (\Sigma x)(\Sigma y)}{n\Sigma x^{2} - (\Sigma x)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(10)(5.8) - (0.56)(96.7)}{(10)(0.0367) - 0.56^{2}} = 72.060$$

$$a = \overline{y} - b\overline{x} = \frac{96.7}{10} - (72.060)(\frac{0.56}{10})$$

$$= 5.635$$

$$\therefore \hat{y} = 5.635 + 72.060x$$

(b) The slope of the linear regression line is 72.060 and this implies that the P/E ratio of a company is expected to be increased by 0.72060 for each 0.01 increase in its R/S ratio, i.e. for each 1 cent increase in research & development expenditure per dollar of sales.

(c) If

$$x = 0.060,$$

then

$$\hat{y} = 5.635 + (72.060)(0.060)$$
  
= 9.96

Reliability of this estimate is low because *n* is equal to 10 only and r = 0.57 (i.e. only 0.32% of the variation in *y* is explained by the regression line).

- 24. (a)  $ltimp = -0.02686 + 0.79116 \times Foreimp + 0.60484 \times Midsole$ 
  - (b) For a given midsole impact, each increase of one unit in forefoot impact absorbing capability is expected to result in an average increase in the long-term ability to absorb shock by 0.79116 units. For a given forefoot impact absorbing capability, each increase of one unit in midsole impact is expected to result in the average increase in the long-term ability to absorb shock by 0.60484 units.
  - (c)  $R^2 = \frac{12.6102}{13.38473} = 0.9421$ . 94.21% of the variation in the long-term ability to

absorb shock can be explained by variation in forefoot absorbing capability and variation in midsole impact.

25. (a)  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$ 

 $H_1$ : at least one  $\beta_i$  is not equal to 0

Under  $H_0$ , test statistic f = 39.86 with p-value = 0.000

Decision: Reject  $H_0$ 

Conclusion: The multiple regression equation is significant at 5% level of significance.

(b) Permits: for each additional building permit, the drywall demand is expected to increase by 476.31.
Mortgage rates: for each additional percentage point, the drywall demand is expected to increase by 1699.
Apartment vacancy rate: for each additional percentage point, the drywall demand is expected to decrease by 1052.8.
Office vacancy rate: for each additional percentage point, the drywall demand is expected to increase by 130.8.

(c) To test  $H_0: \beta_i = 0$  against  $H_1: \beta_i \neq 0$  for all the independent variables, the test statistic t of permits, mortgage rates, apartment vacancy rate and office vacancy rate are 12.06, 1.12, -1.65 and 0.47 respectively and the p-values of permits, mortgage rates, apartment vacancy rate and office vacancy rate are 0.000, 0.276,

0.116 and 0.645 respectively. Only one of the independent variables – permits has significant effect on the drywall demand at 5% level of significance.